

The Controllability Gramian of Line Networks: Closed-Form Expressions and Asymptotic Transitions

Giacomo Baggio and Sandro Zampieri

Abstract—In this technical note, we establish closed-form expressions of the entries of the (output) controllability Gramian of a class of bidirectional line networks. Also, we characterize the asymptotic behavior of these entries in two important cases.

I. PROBLEM FORMULATION

We consider networks governed by linear time-invariant continuous-time dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ denote the vectors of nodes' states, inputs, and outputs at time t , respectively. The matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denotes the (weighted and directed) adjacency matrix of the network, and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ are the input and output matrices, respectively. These matrices are chosen so as to single out prescribed sets of input and output nodes of the network, that is,

$$B = [e_{k_1} \ \cdots \ e_{k_m}], \quad C = [e_{t_1} \ \cdots \ e_{t_p}]^\top, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p\}$ are the sets of input and output nodes, respectively, and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denote the vectors of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

If A is Hurwitz stable, the infinite-horizon output controllability Gramian of (1) is well-defined and given by

$$\mathcal{W} = \int_0^\infty C e^{At} B B^\top e^{A^\top t} C^\top dt. \quad (3)$$

The (output) controllability Gramian is linked to the controllability properties of the network, in that its eigenvalues describe how much control energy is needed to reach different output directions using a minimum-norm control input [].

In this note, we analyze the output controllability Gramian of a simple yet insightful class of networks. Namely, we consider bidirectional line networks which are described by the following Toeplitz adjacency matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & \beta/\alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \beta\alpha & \gamma & \beta/\alpha & & \vdots \\ 0 & \beta\alpha & \gamma & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \beta/\alpha \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta\alpha & \gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are positive parameters and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that $\gamma < -2\beta$ so as to enforce stability. Notice that the parameter α quantifies, in a sense, the “degree” of directionality of the network. Indeed, the larger α the stronger is the connection from node i to node $i + 1$ and the weaker is the connection in the opposite direction. Thus, the network in (4) represents a simple, prototypical architecture in which the effects of directionality (or, in algebraic terms, non-normality) and stability are completely decoupled and can be freely tuned. More precisely, the directionality is regulated by parameter α , whereas the eigenvalues are determined by parameters β and γ . Finally, for later use, we observe that A can be rewritten as

$$A = DSD^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & \beta & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & \beta & & \vdots \\ 0 & \beta & \gamma & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \beta \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta & \gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

is a symmetric matrix featuring the same spectrum of A , and $D = \text{diag}[1 \ \alpha \ \alpha^2 \ \cdots \ \alpha^{n-1}]$ a diagonal matrix whose diagonal encodes the degree of directionality of the network.

II. FINITE-SIZE ANALYSIS OF \mathcal{W}

In this section, we establish a closed-form expression of the controllability Gramian (3).

Theorem 1: (Closed-form expression of \mathcal{W}) Consider the output controllability Gramian (3) where A is as in (4), and B and C are as in (2). For all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{W}]_{ij} &= -\frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \frac{\alpha^{t_i+t_j-2k}}{\gamma + \beta (\cos(x_\ell) + \cos(x_h))} \\ &\quad \cdot \sin(t_i x_\ell) \sin(k x_\ell) \sin(t_j x_h) \sin(k x_h), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $x_i := i\pi/N$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $N := n+1$.

Before presenting the proof of Theorem (1), we state an instrumental lemma, whose proof can be found in, e.g., [1, Ex. 7.2.5].

Lemma 2: (Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of S) The matrix S as defined in (6) admits the spectral decomposition

$$S = V^\top \Lambda V, \quad (8)$$

where $\Lambda = \text{diag}[\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n]$ is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of S

$$\lambda_k = \gamma + 2\beta \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1}\right), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (9)$$

and the columns of $V = [v_1 \cdots v_n]$ the corresponding (normalized) eigenvectors

$$v_k = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2k\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \sin\left(\frac{nk\pi}{n+1}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (10)$$

Proof of Theorem 1: In view of the definition of B in (2), it follows that $BB^\top = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} e_k e_k^\top$. Thus, we can rewrite \mathcal{W} as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= \int_0^\infty C e^{At} B B^\top e^{A^\top t} C^\top dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty C e^{At} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} e_k e_k^\top \right) e^{A^\top t} C^\top dt \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \int_0^\infty C e^{At} e_k e_k^\top e^{A^\top t} C^\top dt. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From the definition of C in (2), the (i, j) -th entry of \mathcal{W} reads

$$[\mathcal{W}]_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} e_{t_i}^\top \left(\int_0^\infty e^{At} e_k e_k^\top e^{A^\top t} dt \right) e_{t_j}. \quad (12)$$

Next, by using the decomposition of A in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{W}]_{ij} &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} e_{t_i}^\top \left(\int_0^\infty D e^{St} D^{-1} e_k e_k^\top D^{-1} e^{St} D dt \right) e_{t_j} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{\alpha^{2(k-1)}} e_{t_i}^\top D \left(\int_0^\infty e^{St} e_k e_k^\top e^{St} dt \right) D e_{t_j} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{\alpha^{t_i+t_j-2}}{\alpha^{2(k-1)}} \left(\int_0^\infty e_{t_i}^\top e^{St} e_k e_k^\top e^{St} e_{t_j} dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now, we focus on the integral terms in (13), that is,

$$I_{ijk} = \int_0^\infty e_{t_i}^\top e^{St} e_k e_k^\top e^{St} e_{t_j} dt. \quad (14)$$

By Lemma 2, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ijk} &= \int_0^\infty e_{t_i}^\top V^\top e^{At} V e_k e_k^\top V^\top e^{At} V e_{t_j} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty v_{t_i}^\top e^{At} v_k v_k^\top e^{At} v_{t_j} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Note that, by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} v_h^\top e^{At} v_k &= \frac{2}{n+1} \cdot \\ &\cdot \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{h\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \sin\left(\frac{nh\pi}{n+1}\right) \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \sin\left(\frac{nk\pi}{n+1}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{\lambda_\ell t} \sin\left(\frac{\ell h\pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\ell k\pi}{n+1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which plugged into (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ijk} &= \int_0^\infty v_{t_i}^\top e^{At} v_k v_k^\top e^{At} v_{t_j} dt \\ &= \frac{4}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{\ell t_i \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\ell k \pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sin\left(\frac{h t_j \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h k \pi}{n+1}\right) \int_0^\infty e^{(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_h) t} dt \\ &= -\frac{4}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_h + \lambda_\ell} \sin\left(\frac{\ell t_i \pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\ell k \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h t_j \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h k \pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{1}{\gamma + \beta \left(\cos\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{n+1}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{h\pi}{n+1}\right) \right)} \\ &\quad \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\ell t_i \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\ell k \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h t_j \pi}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h k \pi}{n+1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where in the second step we used the fact that $\int_0^\infty e^{(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_h) t} dt = \frac{1}{\lambda_\ell + \lambda_h}$, and in the last step the analytic expression of λ_k , $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, in Lemma 2. Finally, equation (7) follows by substituting (17) into (13). \blacksquare

An interesting scenario is when the input signal enters the network from the first node of the network ($\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$). In this case, two extreme input/output configurations are when the input and output nodes coincide ($\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{1\}$), and when they are placed as far away as possible ($\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$). In these two extreme cases, it is possible to establish simplified versions of the expressions in Theorem 1.

Corollary 3: (Closed-form expression of \mathcal{W} for $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{1\}$) Consider the output controllability Gramian (3) where A is as in (4), and B and C are as in (2). Further, let $x_i := i\pi/N$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $N := n+1$. If $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{1\}$, then it holds

$$\mathcal{W} = -\frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sin^2(x_\ell) \sin^2(x_h)}{\gamma + \beta (\cos(x_\ell) + \cos(x_h))}. \quad (18)$$

Proof: Equation (18) directly follows by substituting $k = t_i = t_j = 1$ in (7). \blacksquare

Corollary 4: (Closed-form expression of \mathcal{W} for $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$) Consider the output controllability Gramian (3) where A is as in (4), and B and C are as in (2). Further,

let $x_i := i\pi/N$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $N := n+1$. If $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$, then it holds

$$\mathcal{W} = -\frac{2\alpha^{2(N-2)}}{N^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell+h} \sin^2(x_\ell) \sin^2(x_h)}{\gamma + \beta (\cos(x_\ell) + \cos(x_h))}. \quad (19)$$

Proof: By letting $k = 1$ and $t_i = t_j = n$, equation (7) takes the form

$$\mathcal{W} = -\frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \frac{\alpha^{2(n-1)}}{\gamma + \beta (\cos(\frac{\ell\pi}{N}) + \cos(\frac{h\pi}{N}))} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\ell n\pi}{N}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{N}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hn\pi}{N}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h\pi}{N}\right), \quad (20)$$

and equation (19) follows from (20) by using the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{qn\pi}{N}\right) &= \sin\left(-\frac{q\pi}{n+1} + q\pi\right) \\ &= (-1)^{q+1} \sin\left(\frac{q\pi}{N}\right), \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

III. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF \mathcal{W}

In this section, we study the large n asymptotic behavior of the controllability Gramian (3) for the line network in (4) and the two extreme scenarios discussed in Corollaries 3 and 4, that is, when the input and output nodes coincide ($\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{1\}$), and when are placed as far away as possible ($\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$).

Theorem 5: (Asymptotic behavior for $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T} = \{1\}$) Consider the output controllability Gramian (3) where A is as in (4), and B and C are as in (2). If $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{1\}$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, \mathcal{W} converges to a positive constant satisfying

$$\frac{\pi^2}{-2\gamma + 4\beta} \leq \mathcal{W} \leq \frac{\pi^2}{-2\gamma - 4\beta}. \quad (21)$$

Proof: Note that (18) can be equivalently written as

$$\mathcal{W} = -\frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{h=0}^{N-1} \frac{\sin^2(x_\ell) \sin^2(x_h)}{\gamma + \beta (\cos(x_\ell) + \cos(x_h))}, \quad (22)$$

where we used the fact that the terms in the summation corresponding to the indices $\ell = 0$ and $h = 0$ vanish. In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, equation (22) converges to the integral

$$\mathcal{W} = -2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2(x) \sin^2(y)}{\gamma + \beta (\cos(x) + \cos(y))} dx dy. \quad (23)$$

Since $-\gamma - 2\beta \leq \gamma + \beta (\cos(x) + \cos(y)) \leq -\gamma + 2\beta$, we can bound the integral (23) as

$$\frac{2I}{-\gamma + 2\beta} \leq \mathcal{W} \leq \frac{2I}{-\gamma - 2\beta}, \quad (24)$$

with $I := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2(x) \sin^2(y) dx dy = \pi^2/4$, from which (21) follows. ■

When $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T} = \{1\}$, Corollary 5 guarantees that the Gramian is always bounded and independent of n . Further, for very stable networks (large $|\gamma|$), the inequalities in (21) yields the estimate $\mathcal{W} \sim -\pi^2/(2\gamma)$.

Theorem 6: (Asymptotic behavior for $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$) Consider the output controllability Gramian (3) where A is as in (4), and B and C are as in (2). If $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it holds

$$\mathcal{W} \sim \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\alpha \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1} \right) \right)^{2n}. \quad (25)$$

where $\kappa := -\gamma/(2\beta) > 1$ and $\mu > 0$ is a real constant independent of n and depending only on α , β and γ .

To prove Theorem 6, we will make use of the following lemma, that has been adapted from [2, Sec. 4(b)].

Lemma 7: Let $n > 0$ and $\kappa > 1$ be real numbers. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-in(x+y)}}{2\kappa - \cos(x) - \cos(y)} dx dy \\ \sim \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1} \right)^{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\xi := 1/(2\sqrt{\pi\kappa(\kappa^2 - 1)^{1/4}}$.

Proof of Theorem 6: Let $N := n+1$ and define

$$\Psi(x, y) := \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\sin^2(2\pi x) \sin^2(2\pi y)}{2\kappa - \cos(2\pi x) - \cos(2\pi y)}. \quad (27)$$

In view of Corollary 4, we can write \mathcal{W} as

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{2\alpha^{2(N-2)}}{N^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{\ell+h} \Psi\left(\frac{\ell}{2N}, \frac{h}{2N}\right). \quad (28)$$

Notice that $\Psi(x, y) = \Psi(-x, y) = \Psi(x, -y) = \Psi(-x, -y)$ and $\Psi(0, y) = \Psi(1/2, y) = \Psi(x, 0) = \Psi(x, 1/2) = 0$. Therefore, we can rewrite (28) as

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{\alpha^{2(N-2)}}{2N^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2N} \sum_{h=1}^{2N} (-1)^{\ell+h} \Psi\left(\frac{\ell}{2N}, \frac{h}{2N}\right). \quad (29)$$

The latter equation follows from the fact that each term in (28) appears four times in (29) and the additional terms corresponding to indices $\ell, h \in \{N, 2N\}$ vanish. Next, we can express it in terms of the 2D Fourier series

$$\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{r, s} e^{2\pi i(r x + s y)}, \quad (30)$$

which converges absolutely since $\Psi(x, y)$ is smooth, and substitute the latter series in (29). By doing so, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= \frac{\alpha^{2(N-2)}}{2N^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2N} \sum_{h=1}^{2N} (-1)^{\ell+h} \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{r, s} e^{2\pi i(\frac{r\ell}{2N} + \frac{sh}{2N})} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{2(N-2)}}{2N^2} \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{r, s} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2N} (-1)^{\ell} e^{2\pi i \frac{r\ell}{2N}} \sum_{h=1}^{2N} (-1)^h e^{2\pi i \frac{sh}{2N}} \\ &= 2\alpha^{2(N-2)} \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{N(2r+1), N(2s+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where in the last step we used the identity, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{h=1}^{2N} (-1)^h e^{2\pi i q \frac{h}{2N}} = \begin{cases} 2N, & \text{if } h = N \bmod 2N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Fourier coefficients in (30) read as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N(2r+1),N(2s+1)} &= \\ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \bar{\Psi}(x,y) e^{-iN((2r+1)x+(2s+1)y)} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}(x,y) := \Psi(x/2\pi, y/2\pi)$. Notice that the function $\bar{\Psi}(x,y)$ can be extended to a complex analytic function in the complex strip $\{x,y \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}(x)| \leq K, |\operatorname{Im}(y)| \leq K\}$, where $K := \cosh^{-1}(\kappa) = \ln(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1})$. Thus, as a consequence of the Paley–Wiener Theorem (e.g., see [3, §VI.7]), the Fourier coefficients in (32) decay exponentially with a rate that satisfies, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{N(2r+1),N(2s+1)}| &\leq M(\varepsilon) e^{-2KN(r+s+1-\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq M(\varepsilon) \left(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}\right)^{-2N(r+s+1-\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq M(\varepsilon) \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}\right)^{2N(r+s+1-\varepsilon)} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $M(\varepsilon)$ is a positive real constant depending only on ε and in the last step we used the identity $(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1})^{-1} = (\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1})$. We next show that the dominant (i.e., slowest decaying) coefficients are those corresponding to the “simplest” terms of the series (31), namely $\psi_{N,N}$, $\psi_{-N,N}$, $\psi_{N,-N}$, $\psi_{-N,-N}$. Since the Fourier coefficients satisfy $\psi_{r,s} = \psi_{-r,s} = \psi_{r,-s} = \psi_{-r,-s}$, the “simplest” four coefficients of the series (31) are all equal to $\psi_{N,N}$. By expanding the numerator of $\bar{\Psi}(x,y)$ in exponential form and using again the Paley–Wiener Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N,N} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \bar{\Psi}(x,y) e^{-iN(x+y)} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2\beta} \underbrace{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-iN(x+y)}}{2\kappa - \cos(x) - \cos(y)} dx dy}_{I(N)} + R, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and R is a real number satisfying $|R| \leq L(\varepsilon) (\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1})^{N(3-\varepsilon)}$ with $L(\varepsilon)$ being a positive real constant depending only on ε . Finally, by virtue of Lemma 7, the integral $I(N)$ features the large N asymptotic estimate

$$I(N) \sim \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}\right)^{2N}, \quad (35)$$

where $\xi := 1/(2\sqrt{\pi\kappa(\kappa^2 - 1)^{1/4}}$. Thus, from the latter estimate and the bounds in (33) and (34), it follows that,

for large N , (31) has the asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &\sim 2\alpha^{2(N-2)}(4\psi_{N,N}) \\ &\sim 8\alpha^{2(N-2)}I(N) \\ &\sim \frac{\xi\alpha^{2(N-2)}}{2\pi^2\beta\sqrt{N}} \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}\right)^{2N}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

After some rearranging, the above expression yields the large n asymptotics (25). ■

As a consequence of Theorem 6, we have the following immediate result that characterizes the values of the parameters α, β, γ for which \mathcal{W} either converges to zero or grows unbounded as the network dimension n increases.

Corollary 8: (Asymptotic transition for $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$) Consider the output controllability Gramian (3) where A is as in (4), and B and C are as in (2). If $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{n\}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \omega(A) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega(A) \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

where $\omega(A) = \lambda_{\max}((A + A^\top)/2)$.

Proof: From Theorem 6, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha > \kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq \kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

where we used the identity $(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1})^{-1} = (\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1})$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(A) &= \gamma + \beta\alpha + \beta/\alpha \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (\alpha^2 - 2\kappa\alpha + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Thus, if $\omega(A) > 0$ then $\alpha^2 - 2\kappa\alpha + 1 > 0$ which in turn yields $\alpha > \kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}$. Conversely, if $\omega(A) \leq 0$ then $\alpha^2 - 2\kappa\alpha + 1 \leq 0$ which in turn yields $\alpha \leq \kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - 1}$. Equation (37) now follows from the latter observations and (38). ■

REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Meyer, *Matrix analysis and applied linear algebra*. SIAM, 2000, vol. 71.
- [2] A. B. Movchan and L. I. Slepyan, “Band gap Green’s functions and localized oscillations,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 463, no. 2086, pp. 2709–2727, 2007.
- [3] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.